MEETING WITH SDC, 21.11.16

Meeting commenced at 2.05 and closed at 2.45

Andrew Mason, Dave Caulfield, Tom Ridley.

AM explained the rural nature of our settlement and that the Parish council has all agreed on 4 fundamental objectives of Enhance ,protect ,Ensure good governance and protect the PC assets.

AM extended our invitation to our NP village hall event and explained that this was just the beginning of the process , and that we were being supported by David Gluck who was also working with Ulleskelf.

NORMAN CHURCH

Dave is going to review where the planning has got to on the land adjacent to the Church, I explained that this is the one area in the village where we were all opposed to development, given its setting against a 13 century Norman Church and that we felt let down by SDC given the representation that were made at the planning stage and that only through a technicality was this passed. However Dave did say he would look into it to see if anything could be done.

HOUSING NUMBERS

Still a moving feast Tom confirmed that the original plan was either 9% or a fair share of the 2000 units for the designated service villages (having got home and plugged that in the calculator that equates to circa 180 yet the figures we were told at the time were 30 to 40) Tom could you please check this figure.

5 YEAR HOUSING SUPPLY

Dave said they hope to hear back from the inspector by the 8th December and would keep us informed .we discussed our concerns during this period in that it it seen by many in the industry that it becomes a free for all which Dave said wasn't the case as NDFF would still offer some protection. The facts remain that developers and house builders view it differently and evidence on the ground tend to support the speculative nature of development.

CF AIRFIELD

As we have been repeatedly told SDC have only seen its use as Aviation and or leisure was this still the case? Dave stated that they had been pleased with the arrival of screen Yorkshire ,which I think was the general feeling in the village but disappointment had been expressed that's screen Yorkshire had supported The new town development unilaterally without reference to the village which may have dented support. Furthermore Dave seemed to think we had highways issues of a historical nature which I corrected and pointed out these only arrived with the development of the airfield.

DESIGNATED SERVICE VILLAGE

Whilst this could not be reviewed under the current core strategy moving forward we would like this to be re-considered under the next generation of planning given that Ulleskelf was losing its station due to HS2 and that 2 of the 4 pathways would be taken by HS2 and that the service had become weaker over the past 20 years.

Both Tom and Dave were keen to support our plan moving forward and support us with information as and when we required it.